

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 JOANNE HUGHES-ROBINSON,  
11 et al.,

12 Plaintiffs,

No. CIV S-04-0247 FCD KJM PS

13 vs.

14 TOM CAREY, et al.,

ORDER

15 Defendants.  
\_\_\_\_\_ /

16 This action was referred to the undersigned pursuant to Local Rule 72-302(c)(21).  
17 Pending before the court is defendants' motion for summary judgment. No opposition was  
18 timely filed and hearing on the matter was continued after plaintiffs were afforded additional  
19 time to file opposition. Plaintiffs again did not file opposition. Plaintiffs also did not appear at  
20 the hearing on April 26, 2006, but called the court at the time of the hearing to advise the court  
21 they were on their way to attend the hearing but were delayed by traffic. Accordingly, IT IS  
22 HEREBY ORDERED that:

23 1. Plaintiffs shall file opposition, if any, to the motion no later than May 8, 2006.  
24 No further extensions will be granted. Failure to file opposition will be deemed as a statement of  
25 non-opposition and shall result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed pursuant to  
26 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

1                   2. Reply, if any, shall be filed no later than May 22, 2006. The motion will  
2 thereafter stand submitted.

3 DATED: April 27, 2006.

4  
5   
6 \_\_\_\_\_  
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
8

8 006  
9 hughes-rob.git